

An Analysis of Functional Outcome and Factors Influencing the Outcome in Floating Knee Injuries

Ram Gopal Panigrahi¹

Abstract

Background: The objective of the study is to study the Functional outcome and factors influencing the outcome in Floating Knee Injuries.

Methods: The study was conducted on patients in Department of Orthopaedics in S.C.B Medical College & Hospital from December 2018 to December 2020 who are admitted with Floating Knee Injuries. Detailed history were obtained using study proforma a thorough musculoskeletal examination of both the knees will be done including neuro-vascular status and appropriate radiographs and if necessary CT scan will be done. Collection of Data of the patients were by brief history of injury, systemic and musculoskeletal examination, radiography of thigh with hip and knee and leg with knee and ankle in standard antero-posterior and Lateral View, follow up with radiological and clinical parameters. Clinical follow-up will be done at 2 weeks, 4 weeks, 8 weeks, 4 months, 6 months, 12 months intervals regarding union Of fractured bone, assessment of range of motion of knee, pain on weight bearing.

Results: In our study we found males are affected in 33 out of 34 patients (97%), right lower limb was involved in 28 out of 34 patients (82%), majority of the patients were of young age group between 16-40 years (60%), twenty five out of 34 patients (73%) had type I floating knee injury and 9 out of 34 (27%) had type II floating knee injury, in most of the cases (70%) surgery was performed within 1 week of trauma. Knee stiffness was more common in older patients, comminuted fractures, Poor articular reduction. The comminuted fractures and poor articular reduction correlated significantly with malunion of fractures. Comminuted fractures were a statistically significant variable for Shortening. Open fractures, comminuted fractures and segmental fractures are statistically significant for non-union and delayed union.

Conclusion: Floating knee injuries are due to high velocity motor vehicle accident. Men are affected more than women. The right-side injury is more frequent than the left side. 55% of patients in this study had an excellent and good functional outcome and 45% of patients had a fair or poor functional outcome. Local complications like wound infection seen in 17.1% of patients. A comminuted fracture is the most common predictor affecting the functional outcomes like Knee stiffness, shortening, and time to union. The other predictors affecting the functional outcomes are poor articular reduction, open fractures, segmental fractures, older age and. The time to union of tibia is more than that of femur. Revision fixation for tibial fractures was more frequent than femoral fractures.

Keywords: Floating knee, Knee injury, Functional outcome

Introduction

The term floating knee is defined as simultaneous ipsilateral fracture of femur and tibia that disconnect the knee from the rest of the limb [1]. It includes both intra-articular and extra-articular fractures [2].

Floating Knee Injuries are becoming more and more common as a result of increasing industrialization and increase in number of vehicles as these injuries are caused by high energy trauma primarily involving high velocity motor vehicle accidents [3].

Due to complex nature of injury and associated complications such as compartment syndrome, vascular injuries, collateral ligament and

meniscal injuries, management of these types of fractures is a challenging therapeutic problem [4]. Most often these kind of ipsilateral fractures are compound and associated with severe damage of soft tissues [5].

The combined femoral and tibial fractures are frequently accompanied by life threatening head injury, injuries to spinal cord, thoracic and abdominal (Visceral) injuries [6].

Surgical stabilization of both the femur and tibia fractures, early rehabilitation of the patient produces best clinical outcome. Although treatment planning for each fracture in the extremity should be considered individually to achieve the optimal results, the effect of that decision must be considered in the light of overall injury status of the entire extremity and general condition of the patient [7].

The results will be better and the complications will be less if the fractures are diaphyseal or extra articular than compared to intra-articular fractures [8]. The main aim of the early internal fixation of both, femur & tibia in floating knee injuries is to obtain union of the fractures in the anatomical position compatible with maximal



¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, SCB Medical College and Hospital, Cuttack, Odisha, India.

Address of Correspondence

Dr. Ram Gopal Panigrahi,
Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, SCB Medical College and Hospital, Cuttack, Odisha, India.
E-mail: ramgopalpanigrahi@gmail.com

Received 22/05/2021; Reviewed 16/06/2021; Accepted 19/11/2021; Published 10/01/2022

© 2022 Odisha Journal of Orthopaedics and Trauma | Available on www.ojotonline.com | DOI: <https://doi.org/10.13107/ojot.2022.v03i01.028>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0>) which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creation are licensed under the identical terms.



Figure 1: Radiological and functional outcome of floating knee

functional return of the extremity and to reduce the complications such as delayed union, non-union and knee stiffness [9].

Methods

The data was collected from the patients in Department of Orthopaedics in S.C.B Medical College & Hospital from December 2018 to December 2020 who were admitted with Floating Knee Injuries.

Detailed history were obtained using study proforma a thorough musculoskeletal examination of both the knees will be done including Neuro-vascular status and appropriate radiographs and if necessary CT scan were done.

Collection of Data of the patients will be as follows

- Brief history of injury.
- Systemic and musculoskeletal examination.
- Radiography of thigh with hip and knee and leg with knee and ankle in standard antero-posterior and lateral view [10].
- Post-operative analgesics and antibiotics and supervised rehabilitation.
- Follow up with radiological and clinical parameters.

Clinical follow-up will be done at 2 weeks, 4 weeks, 8 weeks, 4 months, 6 months, 12 months intervals regarding

- Union of fractured bone.
- Assessment of range of motion of knee [11].
- Pain on weight bearing.

Inclusion Criteria

- Patients with floating knee injuries presented in Accident and emergency department within 24 hours of injury.
- Patients with minimum follow up of 1 year after the injury.

Exclusion Criteria

- Patients with femoral fractures proximal to the subtrochanteric level.
- Patients with tibial fractures distal to the distal metaphysis.
- Open fracture of tibia and femur
- Floating knee with compartment syndrome

Results

In our study we found males are affected in 33 out of 34 patients (97%), right lower limb was involved in 28 out of 34 patients (82%), majority of the patients were of young age group between 16-40 years (60%), twenty five out of 34 patients (73%) had type I floating knee injury and 9 out of 34 (27%) had type II floating knee injury, in most of the cases (70%) surgery was performed within 1 week of trauma. Knee stiffness was more common in older patients, communitated fractures, Poor articular reduction. The communitated fractures and poor articular reduction correlated significantly with malunion of fractures. Communitated fractures were a statistically significant variable for Shortening. Open fractures, communitated fractures and segmental fractures are statistically significant for non-union and delayed union (Figure 1).

Discussion

Four outcome measures were analyzed with seven baseline variables statistically.

Four outcome measures [12] were

- 1) Knee stiffness
- 2) Malunion
- 3) Shortening
- 4) Nonunion and time to union

Six baseline variables [13] were

- 1) Age of the patient
- 2) Time to surgery after injury
- 3) Type of the fracture (open or closed)
- 4) Presence of Communitation
- 5) Segmental fractures
- 6) Articular reduction

1) Knee Stiffness [14]:

The six base line variables were correlated with knee stiffness using the Chi-square test & found out to be Knee stiffness was more common in older patients, Communitated fractures, Poor articular reduction.

2) Malunion [15]:

The communitated fractures and poor articular reduction correlated significantly with malunion of fractures.

3) Shortening [16]:

Communitated fractures were a statistically significant variable for Shortening.

4) Nonunion [17] and time to Union [18]:

Open fractures, communitated fractures and segmental fractures are statistically significant for non-union and delayed union

Conclusion

Floating knee injuries are due to high velocity motor vehicle accident. Men are affected more than women. The right side injury is more frequent than the left side. 55% of patients in this study had an excellent and good functional outcome and 45% of patients had a fair or poor functional outcome. Local complications like wound infection seen in 17.1% of patients. A communitated fracture is the most common predictor affecting the functional outcomes like Knee stiffness, shortening, and time to union. The other predictors affecting the functional outcomes are poor articular reduction, open fractures, segmental fractures, older age and. The time to union of tibia is more than that of femur. Revision fixation for tibial fractures was more frequent than femoral fractures.

References

1. Rethnam V, Study of epidemiology, prognostic indicators and outcome following surgical management of floating knee. *Journal of Trauma Management and Outcomes*, 2007; Vol I (1), pp. 2; PMID: 1827-1992.
2. Hung SH, Surgical treatment of Type II floating Knee : Comparisons of the results of type IIA and type II B floating knee; *Official journal of the ESSKA [Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc]*, 2007 May; Vol.15(5), PP. 578-86; PMID : 17203298.
3. Dwyer AJ, Paul R, Floating Knee injuries ; Long term results of four treatment methods; *International Orthopedics*; 2005 Oct; Vo. 29(5), pp. 314-8; PMID : 16132984.
4. RIOS JA, Floating knee injuries treated with single incision technique versus traditional anterograde femur fixation. *American Journal of Orthopedics*; 2004 Sep; Vol. 33 (9), pp. 468-72; PMID : 1509113
5. Adanson GJ, Wiss DA, Lowery GL, Peters CL, Type II floating knee: Ipsilateral femoral and tibial fractures with intra-articular extension into knee joint; [*J.Orthop.Trauma*]; 1992; Vol. 6 (3), pp. 333-9.
6. Anastopoulous G, Assimakolopoulos A, EXarchou E, Ipsilateral fractures of the femur and tibia; 1992; Vol. 23(7); pp. 439-1.
7. Anil Agarwal Floating injuries: review of the literature and proposal for a universal classification; *Acta Orthop Beig*; 2004 Dec.
8. Bansal F. Singhal V, The floating knee. 40 cases of Ipsilateral fractures of the femur and tibia Mam M.K.; *Int. Orthopedics*; 1984; Vol. 8(3), pp. 183-7.
9. Behr Jr., Apel D.M. Flexible intramedullary nails for Ipsilateral fractures of the femur and Pinzur M.S. tibia, *J. Trauma*, 1987; Vol. 27, pp. 1354-57.
10. Fraser R.D., Hunter G.A. Ipsilateral fractures of the femur and tibia, *J. Bone & Joint Surg*, 1978; Vol. 142 (B), pp. 115-122.
11. Gregory P, Diccio J, Karpik K. Sanders R. Ipsilateral fractures of femur and tibia treated with retrograde femoral nailing & unreamed tibial nailing, *J. Orthop. Trauma*, 1996; Vol. 10, pp. 309-16.
12. Gustilo R.B., Anderson J.T. Prevention of infection in treatment of fractures study of 1025 open fractures, *J. Bone & Joint Surg*, 1976; Vol. 58(A), pp. 453.
13. Guohui Liu, Shuhua Yang, Jingyuan Du. Treatment of floating knee injury in children, *J Huazhong Univ. Sci. Technology MED Sci*, 2006 Jan.
14. Campbell's operative orthopedics, 13th edition, Vol. 2. Page 1674.
15. Karlstrom G., Olerud S. Ipsilateral fractures of femur and tibia, *J. Bone & Joint Surg*, 1977; Vol. 9(A), pp. 240-3.
16. Leach R.E. Treatment of ipsilateral fractures of femur and tibia, *J.B.J.S.*, 1973; Vol. 55(A), pp. 868.
17. Lett E, Vincent the 'Floating Knee' in children, *J.B.J.S.* 68'(B), 1986; pp. 442-6.
18. McAndrew M.P., Pontarelli W., The long term follow up of ipsilateral femoral & tibial diaphyseal fractures, *Clin. Orthop*, 1988; Vol. 232, pp. 190-96.

Declaration of patient consent: The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form, the patient has given his/her consent for his/her images and other clinical information to be reported in the Journal. The patient understands that his/her name and initials will not be published, and due efforts will be made to conceal his/her identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Conflict of Interest: NIL
Source of Support: NIL

How to Cite this Article

Panigrahi RG | An Analysis of Functional Outcome and Factors Influencing the Outcome in Floating Knee Injuries | *Odisha Journal of Orthopaedics and Trauma* | January 2022; 03: 20-22.